

August 27, 1992  
gmaappl.jlb

Introduced by: Sullivan

Proposed No.: 92-638

MOTION NO. 8766

1  
2 A MOTION related to county-wide planning  
3 policies; declaring King County's policy  
4 that appeals of the policies by cities to  
5 the growth management hearings board  
6 should be allowed at the conclusion of  
7 Phase II of county implementation of the  
8 Growth Management Act as set forth in  
9 Ordinance 10450.

10 WHEREAS, to meet the requirements of RCW 36.70A.210, King  
11 County, Seattle, and suburban cities established a process  
12 through an interlocal agreement creating the Growth Management  
13 Planning Council (GMPC) to recommend county-wide planning  
14 policies to the King County Council, and

15 WHEREAS, on July 6, 1992 by Ordinance 10450 the King  
16 County Council adopted the county-wide planning policies as  
17 Phase I of the county's implementation of the major planning  
18 requirements of the Growth Management Act (GMA), and

19 WHEREAS, in Phase II, commencing no later than December  
20 1992, the GMPC shall evaluate information and recommendations  
21 on nominations of urban and manufacturing/industrial centers by  
22 affected jurisdictions and other issues and recommend potential  
23 amendments to the policies to the county, and

24 WHEREAS, such amendments to the county-wide planning  
25 policy, if adopted by the county and ratified by cities, could  
26 result in significant changes to the county-wide planning  
27 policies, and

28 WHEREAS, the GMA allows appeals of adopted county-wide  
29 planning policies by cities and the governor to the growth  
30 planning hearings board within sixty days of the adoption of  
31 the county-wide planning policy, and

32 WHEREAS, cities should not be required to appeal the  
33 recently adopted Phase I policies simply to preserve the right  
34 to appeal any amended county-wide planning policies adopted as  
35 a result of Phase II;

1 NOW, THEREFORE BE IT MOVED by the Council of King County:  
 2 A. It is the policy of King County that appeals by cities  
 3 to the growth management hearings board of any amended county-  
 4 wide planning policies adopted in Phase II of the comprehensive  
 5 planning process described in Ordinance 10450 should be allowed  
 6 notwithstanding a city's decision not to appeal the policies  
 7 adopted in Phase I.

8 PASSED this 8th day of September, 1992

9  
10

KING COUNTY COUNCIL  
KING COUNTY, WASHINGTON

11  
12

Gregory S. Stiegel  
Chair

13

ATTEST:

14  
15

Gerald A. Peterson  
Clerk of the Council